LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY.

EIGHTH DAY.

Thursday, 1st November 1951.

The Legislative Assembly met in the Assembly Hall, Old Public Offices, Bangalore, on Thursday, 1st November 1951 at One of the Clock.

Mr. Speaker (The Hon'ble Sri V. Venkatappa) in the Chair.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

MR. SPEAKER (The Hon'ble Sri V. Venkatappa).—Questions.

Recovery of Acquisition charges from Companies and Local Bodies.

Q.—77. SRI A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK (Chitaldrug District—General).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether they have passed orders sanctioning recovery of 10 per cent of the estimated cost of acquisition from the companies and local bodies for whom land is acquired ;

(*b*) whether they called for the opinion of any local body before passing such an order ;

(*c*) all the other levies to be made in acquisitions besides the actual value of the land ;

(*d*) whether such a practice obtains in any of the States in India ?

A.—The Hon'ble SRI H. SIDDAIYA (Minister for Revenue and Excise).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Orders have already been passed.

(*c*) Fifteen per cent statutory allowance and commuted assessment.

(*d*) Section 50 (1) of Acquisition Act I of the Government of India contemplates the recovery of all charges incidental to the cost of acquisition.

SRI A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—My question was whether the opinion of the municipality was asked for before passing such an order ; but the answer furnished is something different.

*THE HON'BLE SRI H. SIDDAIYA.—Yes, the answer is not correct. Local bodies were not consulted.

SRI A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Is there any basis for fixing the establishment and incidental charges at 10 per cent ?

THE HON'BLE SRI H. SIDDAIYA.—Ten per cent is deemed to be a very reasonable figure because when a Special Land Acquisition Officer is appointed, his pay and establishment charges, among other expenditure, should not be a charge on the Government and so they have to be recovered.

SRI A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Should not the amount to be recovered depend on the expenditure incurred rather than on the value of the land ?

THE HON'BLE SRI H. SIDDAIYA.—Generally, the Hon'ble Member is correct.

SRI A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—May I submit that the amount to be recovered should depend on the expenditure incurred ?

THE HON'BLE SRI H. SIDDAIYA.—'Expenditure incurred' among other things.

SRI A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Where a special officer is not appointed and the Revenue Inspector or the Amildar is asked to evaluate, in such cases, no expenditure is incurred—is it not ?

THE HON'BLE SRI H. SIDDAIYA.—With all that, there will be extra work for the Revenue Department also.

SRI A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—On what data would it be 10 per cent of the value of the land ?

The Hon'ble Sri H. SIDDAIYA.—That is mentioned in the Government Order dated 16th April 1951. The last para of the order is to this effect :

"Government have reserved the right to exempt by general or special order any individual acquisition proceedings or class of proceedings from the recovery of establishment charges".

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Whatever it is, if it is to be taken, is the amount to be 10 per cent ?

The Hon'ble Sri H. SIDDAIYA.—Government are at liberty to reduce the figure.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Would it not be in the interest of the Municipalities and local bodies that this figure is reduced to one or two per cent at the most, though 10 per cent may apply to the public limited companies or private companies ?

The Hon'ble Sri H. SIDDAIYA.—After such a representation was made to the concerned Minister by the Hon'ble Member, the matter is under active consideration. I think that 2 or 3 per cent may be fixed.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—I thank the Hon'ble Minister for that.

Grades of Pay of Executive Staff of the Police and Excise Departments.

Q.—126. Sri B. M. SHAMANNA GOWDA (Retroceded Area—General).—Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the several grades of pay of the executive staff of the Police and the Excise Departments at present and 15 years back ;

(*b*) how many times these scales of pay in the two departments underwent revision during the last 15 years and with what results ;

(*c*) whether it is not desirable to have similar grades of pay for the executive staff of these two departments ;

(*d*) whether transfer of officials from the ministerial to the executive cadre or *vice versa* is permitted in these departments ; and if so, what are the qualifications prescribed and the procedure adopted for such transfers ;

(*e*) whether the Public Service Commission is being consulted in such cases of transfer and their opinion given due consideration ;

(*f*) whether such transfers, if allowed, would not affect adversely the prospects of the executive subordinate officials as the scales of pay of the executive staff are fixed and those of the ministerial staff are graded ;

(*g*) whether the Government would put an end to such interchange in the future ?

A.—The Hon'ble Sri H. SIDDAIYA (Minister for Revenue and Excise).—

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

(*a*)	*1935*	*1946*	*1947*
Police Inspectors—			
III Grade ...	100	125	150
II Grade ...	125	150	175
I Grade ...	150	175	200
Sub-Inspectors—			
IV Grade ...	50	60	70
III Grade ...	60	70	80
II Grade ...	70	85	100
I Grade ...	80	100	120
Jamedars—			
	32, 35	40	50
Daffedars—			
	21, 23, 25, 27, 30	25, 30, 35	35, 40
Constables—			
	15, 16, 17, 18	18-1/3-22	22-1/2-32

EXCISE DEPARTMENT.

	15 years back.	*At present.*
Excise Inspectors—		
Class I ...	150	175
Class II ...	125	150
Class III ...	100	125
Class IV ...	80	100
Class V ...	70	85
Class VI ...	60	70